

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,455	01/29/2001	Michael D. Rahn	RSW920000148US1	7151
7590 08/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Esther H. Chong, Esquire			PAN, YUWEN	
Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP 2600 Aramark Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1101 Market Street			2682	
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/772.455 RAHN ET AL. Advisory Action **Art Unit** Examiner 2682 Yuwen Pan --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: . Claim(s) rejected: ____ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other:

VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: first, claim 36 is not completely identical to the canceled claim 39, claim 39 indicates that the communicating step communicates the signal use Spread Spectrum technology, but the newly amended claim 36 indicates that the communicating step communicates the signal use Cordless Spread spectrum technology, clearly the latter one narrows the claim limitation. Furthermore, the combination of Johson reference and Rouphael reference complies with the establishment of a prima facie case of obviousness. Within Raouhael, column 7 and lines 11-14, Raouhael teaches that cellular CDMA and cordless Spread Spectrum are two species type of technology of of the CDMA, the cellular is usuful for macro cell and the cordless is good for micro cell kind of system. And Johson does teach that the communicating step communicates the signal use CDMA technology. In conculsion, the prior art clearly teaches all the limitation as claims, all the limitations as argued are nevertheless being met and addressed in the previous final rejection, dated 04/23/04. Therefore, applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive.